

Some people believe that to become successful in sports one should have a natural talent. Others think that perseverance and practice are a crucial part to success. Discuss both views and give your own opinion.

For any athletic achievement natural talent is required, for example, if I were taller, I would be a better basketball player. Having said that, a growing number of people believe that any child can be the greatest athlete in the world as long as he receives the right teaching in the proper direction. So I ~~want~~ aim to specify which one of these factors is dominant: natural skill or practice.

Some ~~ef~~-biologists believe that physical condition can affect human genetics. If this ~~expression-~~ statement is true, then we can accept that by practicing, we could reach ~~to~~ adequate athletic skills for each type of sports. But when we want to use biological information, we should know that always there is a level of uncertainty in these ~~types~~ of quotations which is hidden. It is absolutely acceptable that physical exercise ~~have~~ has a lot of effect on muscles, but we could have a lot doubts about the direct effect of physical activity and human genome. Therefore, we could not dismiss the idea of potential skill of a person in each type of sports.

The effect of natural ability on athletic skills ~~are~~ is acceptable, but on the other hand if somebody has some potential, without practice, these abilities could not be actualized. According to the above explanation we should find out what natural talent we have and then by nurturing it, we develop it. We should do exercise in the way of development of our physical ability.

Consequently, for success in sports both ~~ef~~ natural skill and determination are required. If somebody ~~have~~ has some potential skills in one type of sport, ~~he~~ they ~~had~~ have promising career in that, but without practice this good chance could be wasted. Therefore, trying is more important than natural talent.